

**ADDENDUM NO. 2
TO THE
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS**

for

Climate-Driven Wildfire Risk Assessment and Community Resilience Plan

July 27, 2026

This addendum includes clarifications submitted by vendors regarding requirements of the RFQ. Please see information below. This addendum is provided for the benefit of all prospective respondents.

Questions/Answers:

Can we request a plan holder list please?

Since the City does not use a bid software platform that tracks plan holders, we do not have a list of firms that have downloaded or expressed interest in this solicitation.

Who completed your last plan? Or did you do it in-house?

The City of Camas has not previously completed a study of this nature.

How does the City envision the state WUI map from DNR factoring into this project? Is the City looking to refine it locally or effectively replace it with a Camas-specific product?

The state WUI map from DNR is a high-level broad brush that utilizes a limited number of standardized metrics for a more global view of potential risk areas. The City is looking for a more granular municipal map of specifically identified risk areas from which a risk mitigation plan for the City of Camas can be derived.

What open-source tools or datasets does the City have in mind? Are there any local datasets the City particularly wants to see incorporated into this assessment and plan (such as critical facilities, infrastructure, neighborhood, parks/open space, business districts, or evacuation route data)? Please clarify if the City will provide GIS datasets and ArcGIS Online hosting.

This plan will assist the City in future emergency planning. As such, datasets such as critical infrastructure and facilities, high risk districts and open space, and potential evacuation routes will be important. The City currently has several layers available and can acquire additional layers from the County or other agencies. This list includes cadastral, environmental, utilities, transportation, parks and recreation, and geologic. Other layers will need to be established or acquired elsewhere. The City has an ArcGIS Online subscription and anticipates using this platform to host the wildfire risk map.

For the potential code update and related policy work, what level of support is the City seeking for:

Example policy/code language,

Technical basis and options,

And/or fully drafted recommendations/amendments?

The project scope does not include preparation of code updates. Task 2 includes recommended risk strategies which may include development of policies or code updates. Consequently, the report should include potential options and technical basis for policy or code changes.

Should findings from the site visits directly inform the wildfire risk mapping or mainly serve as case studies and narrative recommendations?

The site visits will address both. They will be targeted at areas of currently perceived wildfire risk and/or crucial City infrastructure. Findings from the site visits may minimize or escalate the level of risk depending on the site visit assessment. Findings should directly inform the wildfire risk mapping for some specific areas or targets but also supplement best practice recommendations presented through case studies and narrative.

What level of public engagement does the City envision as appropriate so that mapping and strategies aren't built in a vacuum from those they affect? Or, should outreach be an implementation recommendation?

Public engagement and outreach will be part of the plan implementation, targeted specifically to those items identified in Task 3: Develop Public Outreach and Education Materials. As noted in the RFQ, delivery of the educational materials and course content to the public is not part of the project scope.

Beyond consultation with CRESA and utility providers, are interviews, workshops, focus groups, advisory committees, or public meetings anticipated?

No. However, interviews may be required with CRESA and utility providers to gather the appropriate information.

Does the City expect the wildfire event modeling to include a single future-climate scenario, or should the model evaluate multiple scenarios using different climate-driven weather adjustments? Is the City primarily seeking a forward-looking climate scenario analysis, or should the focus remain on current wildfire risk while also considering future climate trends?

This will depend on the findings from the risk assessment portion of the project. The City will rely on the consultant's expertise to determine if a single scenario is sufficient or if multiple scenarios and climate trends should be considered. For the purposes of the proposal preparation, assume multiple scenarios are required.

Please clarify whether the five open-space sites have been identified and whether there are any access limitations or safety requirements associated with site visits.

The sites have not been identified. Per the RFQ, sites will be mutually selected between the consultant team and City project team after project award. There are no anticipated access limitations or safety requirements. Some terrain may be steep but no climbing apparatus or off-road vehicles will be necessary.

Please confirm that the required field-assessment scope is limited to five distinct City-owned open-space tracts and that the terms “tracts” and “sites” refer to the same five locations.

Yes, the scope is limited to five City-owned sites. For the purposes of this project, the terms tracts and sites are interchangeable. Any required staff escorts, keys, or permissions will be arranged by the City ahead of the site visits.

Please clarify whether the City anticipates requesting observations at more than five tracts, additional sites, or observation areas within the selected tracts, or substitute after the initial locations have been selected. If so, how would additional fieldwork be authorized and incorporated into the scope?

Per the RFQ, sites will be mutually selected between the consultant team and City project team after project award. This is to determine the best five locations to assess. The scope is to include five areas for site visits. If additional visits are requested by the City, a fee revision will be discussed to incorporate the extra services.

Please provide the approximate acreage, parcel configuration, terrain, vegetation types, known access points, and anticipated number of assessment points for each selected tract.

This information is unknown since sites have not been selected yet. In general, site visits will involve steep terrain with thick vegetation. Some areas may or may not have existing trails. The City and consultant will decide which sites to visit based on best applicability for the project and overall team safety. Access by foot is anticipated with no need for off-road vehicles, climbing apparatus, or other equipment.

Are there translation, ADA accessibility, or targeted outreach requirements for educational materials?

These are not anticipated at this time.

Will the City consider proposed edits to the indemnification provision for purposes of preserving professional liability insurance coverage?

The City will consider requested edits and have our legal team review them to determine whether the proposed changes are reasonable.

Please confirm whether the City expects the selected consultant to use drones or other aircraft in performing the field observations or mapping. Are there City restrictions on drone usage? Will the City accept Unmanned Aircraft Liability Insurance In lieu of Aviation or Aircraft Liability Insurance?

Drones or aircraft are not required to complete the scope of the project but will be accepted if the consultant chooses to utilize this resource. There are no City-specific restrictions on drone use. However, all use must comply with state and federal regulations as well as be respectful of residents. If utilized, insurance coverage must be appropriate for the type of service being performed. For example, if unmanned aircraft, such as drones, are being used for surveying, the contractor must carry the appropriate insurance coverage for that activity. If Aviation Insurance is not applicable, it will be waived.

The RFQ states to “include a spreadsheet showing the allocation of all team member hours, including sub-consultants.” Please confirm that this spreadsheet should be submitted as a separate attachment and is excluded from the 12-page limit.

The spreadsheet should be included as part of the proposal but will not count against the 12-page limit.

Please confirm that Attachment A (Statement of Qualifications Summary Cover Form) is excluded from the 12-page limit.

The cover form is excluded from the 12-page limit.

If the City elects to accept proposed Value-Added Services, would those services be expected to be delivered within the anticipated \$230,000 budget, or could the City provide additional funding for accepted enhancements?

The City and consultant will negotiate any value-added services. Additional budget may be considered.

Could you please clarify the evaluation criteria on page 4 of the RFQ? Do the Corporate Experience (25%) and Project Team Experience (25%) roll up into the Experience and Qualifications (50%) criterion? Or are they separate?

Experience and Qualifications comprises 50% of the total evaluation score. Of that 50%, approximately 25% is devoted to Corporate Experience and another 25% to Project Team Experience. Consequently, proposals should include information on both corporate and team experience.

On page 4, the Evaluation Criteria section lists six criteria totaling 140%. However, the following pages provide detailed evaluation information for only four criteria, totaling 100%. Based on this, we assume that proposals will not be evaluated under the “Corporate Experience (25%)” and “Project Team Experience (25%)” categories. Could you please confirm whether this assumption is correct?

Experience and Qualifications comprises 50% of the total evaluation score. Of that 50%, approximately 25% is devoted to Corporate Experience and another 25% to Project Team Experience. Consequently, proposals should include information on both corporate and team experience.