Prepared By	Jurisdiction	Date
Sarah Fox, Senior Planner	City of Camas	February 2020

The following is a list of changes to the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) that are mandated by state law. The Department of Ecology requires that this checklist is submitted along with the draft SMP document. There is a guidance document available on Ecology's website that provides detailed information on each of these required changes, which may be downloaded at this link. The right two columns (Review and Action) are the city's response to each item.

Row	*Summary of change*	*Review*	*Action*
2019			
a.	OFM adjusted the **cost threshold for building freshwater docks**	Page 11 (#8). Our city only has freshwater, so type of water body is not stated. Threshold amount must be updated.	Proposed updating the dollar amount with amendments
b.	The Legislature removed the requirement for a shoreline permit for **disposal of dredged materials at Dredged Material Management Program sites** *(applies to 9 jurisdictions)*	This does not apply to our jurisdiction.	No action needed as it does not apply.
c.	The Legislature added **restoring native kelp, eelgrass beds and native oysters** as fish habitat enhancement projects.	Page 12 (#16) Fish habitat enhancement projects. Does not list project types, rather states that the project must conform to RCW 77.55.181 and be approved by WDFW. Page 76, Sec. 6.4.4 Shoreline Enhancement. Does not specify projects.	No action needed
2017			
a.	OFM adjusted the **cost threshold for substantial development** to $7,047.	Page 9 (#1). Threshold must be updated per statute.	Proposed updating the dollar amount with the amendments
b.	Ecology permit rules clarified the **definition of "development"** does not include dismantling or removing structures.	Definitions for "Development" does not include clarification. Staff concurs that it would be helpful.	Proposed to add to the definition (#41) "Development does not include dismantling or removing structures"

Row	*Summary of change*	*Review*	*Action*
c.	Ecology adopted rules clarifying **exceptions to local review under the SMA.**	These laws are not referenced in our SMP.	No action needed
d.	Ecology amended rules clarifying **permit filing procedures** consistent with a 2011 statute.	SMP is consistent with this language. Pages 92 (#40) "Date of Filing" and Appendix B at Section XIII - Permit Validity and Expiration.	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.
e.	Ecology amended **forestry use regulations** to clarify that forest practices that only involves timber cutting are not SMA "developments" and do not require SDPs.	SMP provides information on conversion to an allowed use. Forest Practice (Section 6.3.5).	No action needed as it does not apply.
f.	Ecology clarified the SMA does not apply to lands under **exclusive federal jurisdiction**	Does not apply to Camas	No action needed as it does not apply.
g.	Ecology clarified "default" provisions for **nonconforming uses and development**.	SMP is consistent. (Section 2.5) Nonconforming Development	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.
h.	Ecology adopted rule amendments to clarify the scope and process for conducting **periodic reviews**.	SMP does not include this reference, therefore no change needs to be made.	No action needed
i.	Ecology adopted a new rule creating an **optional SMP amendment process** that allows for a shared local/state public comment period.	SMP and city code do not reference state process.	No action needed
j.	**Submittal** to Ecology of proposed SMP amendments. Rule: WAC 173-26-110, WAC 173-26-120, effective 9/7/2017.	The city can send revisions electronically instead of paper copies.	No action needed
2016			
a.	The Legislature created a new shoreline permit exemption for retrofitting existing structure to comply with the **Americans with Disabilities Act**.	Exemptions at Section 2.3.2 do not include an ADA provision. Staff proposes to amend with the **exact phrase of state law.**	Proposed to add the following (new #17): "The external or internal retrofitting of an existing structure with the exclusive purpose of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.) or to otherwise provide physical access to the structure by individuals with disabilities."

Row	*Summary of change*	*Review*	*Action*
b.	Ecology updated **wetlands critical areas guidance** including implementation guidance for the 2014 wetlands rating system.	Ordinance No. 15-007 Camas adopted the mandated updates on July 27, 2015. Ecology provided final approval of the amendments on July 13, 2015.	No action needed as updates were adopted.
2015			
a.	The Legislature adopted a **90-day target** for local review of Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) projects.	SMP does not include these WSDOT provisions of law. The Technical Advisory Committee recommended adding the language for clarity and to assist staff with compliance.	Consider amending Section 6.3.14 (new) #8 with recommended language from Ecology's guidance.
2014			
a.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for **floating on-water residences** legally established before 7/1/2014.	Camas does not have any floating residences.	No action needed as it does not apply.
2012			
a.	The Legislature amended the SMA to clarify **SMP appeal procedures**.	Camas' SMP does not outline the SMP appeal process and therefore no changes would need to be made to our SMP.	No action needed
2011			
a.	Ecology adopted a rule requiring that wetlands be delineated in accordance with the approved **federal wetland delineation manual**.	Exact phrase is found at Appendix C, Section 16.53.030	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.
b.	Ecology adopted rules for new commercial **geoduck aquaculture**.	Camas has no saltwater.	No action needed as it does not apply.
c.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for **floating homes** permitted or legally established prior to January 1, 2011.	Camas has no floating homes.	No action needed as it does not apply.
d.	The Legislature authorizing a new **option to classify existing structures as conforming**.	In conformance with law. Section 2.7 Nonconforming Development	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.

Row	*Summary of change*	*Review*	*Action*
2010			
a.	The Legislature adopted **Growth Management Act – Shoreline Management Act clarifications**.	No reference to SMP amendments or the timing of Ecology's final action is included in our SMP.	No action needed.
2009			
a.	The Legislature created new "relief" procedures for instances in which a **shoreline restoration project within a UGA** creates a shift in Ordinary High Water Mark.	No reference to law is given, however Staff recommends including for clarification.	May add for clarity to Section 3.9 Restoration (new #12), "The City may grant relief from SMP development standards and use regulations resulting from shoreline restoration projects within urban growth areas consistent with criteria and procedures in WAC 173-27-215."
b.	Ecology adopted a rule for certifying **wetland mitigation banks**.	The city allows mitigation to occur at wetland bank in conformance with rules. App. C, page 158 (#5) Alternate Wetland Mitigation	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.
c.	The Legislature added **moratoria authority** and procedures to the SMA.	No provisions for moratoria are stated within the SMP.	No action needed. Camas can rely on the statutory authority of the SMA.
2007			
a.	The Legislature clarified **options for defining "floodway"** as either the area that has been established in FEMA maps, or the floodway criteria set in the SMA.	Defined consistent with law. Page 91 (#66) Floodway	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.
b.	Ecology amended rules to clarify that **comprehensively updated SMPs shall include a list and map of streams and lakes** that are in shoreline jurisdiction.	List of shorelines, streams and lakes is at Section 2.1. The shoreline map is at Appendix A (adopted with 2012 comprehensive update).	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.
c.	Ecology's rule listing statutory exemptions from the requirement for an SDP was amended to include fish habitat enhancement projects that conform to the provisions of RCW 77.55.181.	Included as required by law. Refer to Section 2.4 (#16).	No action needed. Addressed during comprehensive update.

Additional amendments

The following list of draft amendments that were first proposed by staff, then vetted with the Shoreline Technical Advisory Committee. This list does not include de minimis amendments such as typos and code citation updates.

Row	*Summary of change*	*Explanation*	*SMP Sections*
Local Amendments			
1.	Remove references to the Shoreline Management Review Committee.	Refer to Staff Memorandum to Council (February 10th) for more details and support for this change. Shoreline permits would be processed by staff or by the hearings examiner instead of the Shoreline Management Review Committee.	2.2 Shoreline Substantial Development Permit Req. (1) 2.3.3. Statements of Exemption (5) 2.6 Shoreline Variance (2) 2.7 Shoreline Conditional Use Permit (2) **Appendix B Administration and Enforcement** Sections (III) (IX) (X) (XII) (XIV) (XV) ((XVI)
2.	Exemption processing	The city is not required to send every written exemption to Ecology, however this is what this section requires. We are the only city in the state that send them every letter. This change would only send the required written exemptions to Ecology.	2.3.3 Statements of Exemption (4)
3.	Update the Shoreline Designations Map to reflect current city limits.	The city has annexed areas since the 2012 creation of the map.	4.4.3 Map Amendments, and Appendix A - Camas Shoreline Designations Map
4.	Allow water-dependant commercial uses within the Urban Conservancy Shoreline	Proposal is to allow "commercial uses" that are water-dependant within the Urban Conservancy designation.	Table 6-1 Development Standards
5.	Allow water dependant recreational uses to be located on the shoreline, not setback 100-feet in Urban Conservancy Shorelines	Much of Lacamas Lake has an Urban Conservancy shoreline designation. It seems inconsistent that "recreation uses" are set back 100-feet.	Table 6-1 Development Standards
6.	Allow trails to be built with a 20-foot setback (currently 100') in Urban Conservancy Shorelines	The long range vision for the city is for a trail to be located along the entire perimeter of the lake. 100-foot setbacks would not allow the user to view the lake.	Table 6-1 Development Standards

Row	*Summary of change*	*Explanation*	*SMP Sections*
7.	Amend the right of way setback for underground utilities to 50 feet from the OHWM.	Amend the right-of-way setback for utitilities given that they are typically within existing roadways that are closer than 100 feet	Table 6-1 Development Standards
8.	Add a category for above ground utilities	There isn't a category for "above ground utilities" perpendicular to the shoreline. For example the sewer transmission line crossed Round lake.	Table 6-1 Development Standards
9.	Measurement of distance between docks	The SMP does not state whether the distance of “1/4 mile” is a straight line distance, along a roadway, or along a shoreline. This lack of distinction has been confusing to implement.	6.3.3.4 Moorage Facilities
10.	Amend restriction of a maximum dock and ramp length.	The maximum length of the gangway and dock is limited to 100'. In all applications, this distance has been inadequate to achieve the required depth of 8' in ordinary low water in the Columbia River. Suggest remove minimums and maximums, as the initial part of this regulation states, "shall be no greater than necessary". **This change will reduce the need for variances for length.**	6.3.3.4 Moorage Facilities: Docks, Piers, and Mooring Buoys (23-a)
11.	Create two categories for piling size – lake and river	Piling diameter in the Columbia River must be larger due to stream flows. All new docks on the Columbia River have requested variances to the size of the piling and have been ultimately approved by Ecology. The piling size should be 12" diameter in the river. **This change will reduce the need for variances**.	6.3.3.4 Moorage Facilities: Docks, Piers, and Mooring Buoys (26)
12.	Update Appendix C, Critical Areas with the adopted provisions of the Camas Urban Tree Program (Ord. 18-014)	Amendments are intended to match the provisions that were adopted into Camas Municipal Code with Ordinance 18-014.	**SMP Appendix C:** 16.51.110 (C) Allowed Activities 16.51.125 Vegetation Removal Permit 16.51.200 (C.1.e and 2.d) Annual Monitoring Reports 16.51.200 (C.3) 16.51.200 (D) Enforcement 16.51.210 (C) Fencing